1	\$48 million number?
2	MR. GENO: \$42, Your Honor. Yes.
3	It's their they commissioned an appraisal,
4	and the appraiser prepared that report.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: And they have not
6	given you a copy of the report.
7	MR. GENO: They have not. But we
8	will request it again. If we don't get it,
9	we'll ask for the bankruptcy court to subpoena
10	that document.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll subpoena it.
12	You want a subpoena for it?
13	MS. KANE: Sure.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Make the application.
15	So you've got to get an address and the name of
16	an officer there.
17	MS. KANE: We'll be happy to do
18	that, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Maybe you'll get it
20	first, you know, you'll be ahead of the
21	bankruptcy court. Is that okay, Mr. McFadden?
22	MR. MCFADDEN: That's fine, Your

1	Honor. I'd also just like to be clear, though,
2	that what we're talking about receiving on
3	November 4th would also include would that
4	also include the contracts underlying the
5	assignment of license applications that the
6	applicants are party to?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm understanding
8	that to be the case.
9	MR. KELLER: Yes, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's nodding yes.
11	MR. KELLER: Subject to the
12	protective order.
13	MR. MCFADDEN: Would that also
14	include all 16
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Subject pardon me.
16	One at a time, one at a time. He said, yes,
17	that's correct, subject to the protective
18	order. That's a given. Go ahead, sir.
19	MR. MCFADDEN: And would that also
20	include all 16 contracts that are listed in
21	Maritime's bankruptcy petition, to the extent
22	there's not perfect overlap there?

1	MR. KELLER: I'll have to know what
2	those are. I mean, I can't answer that without
3	seeing what the list, assuming the 16 are among
4	those contracts here, yes.
5	MR. MCFADDEN: Well, Your Honor
6	MR. KELLER: What I'm talking about
7	is eight contracts for sales of license. Yes.
8	MS. GOING: But the bankruptcy
9	petition lists 16 contracts for the sales of
10	licenses.
11	MR. KELLER: Yes. Contracts for
12	sales of licenses
13	(Simultaneous speaking.)
14	MR. KELLER: Something was said
15	about spectrum leases too. I don't know if
16	those will actually
17	MR. GENO: I thought you mentioned
18	spectrum leases.
19	MS. GOING: Do you want me to read
20	them out loud?
21	MR. KELLER: No, no. That's all
22	right. I mean, contracts for sales of licenses

1	would be included, yes. Actual executed
2	contracts.
3	MS. KANE: Well, we also think any
4	leases that they have provides a value for the
5	spectrum, as well.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. We've got to
7	have the whole picture. I mean, that you have,
8	that you can give us. Now, obviously you can't
9	give us what you're going to have on November
10	21st. I'm not being unreasonable. But
11	whatever you have now, you can give us.
12	MR. KELLER: I'll have to get back
13	to you on the leases, because I don't know what
14	the whole story is with those.
15	MR. PLACHE: If the lease is
16	prepaid, it could be a detraction from the
17	value of the spectrum.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Could you identify
19	yourself, sir, please.
20	MR. PLACHE: I'm Matthew Plache.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thanks, go ahead.
22	MR. PLACHE: On behalf of
1	I .

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Say what you were
2	saying.
3	MR. PLACHE: Dixie Electric.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, please, say
5	what you were saying. Repeat that.
6	MR. PLACHE: Well, if the lease was
7	pre-paid
8	MR. KELLER: Let's just say I don't
9	know
10	MR. PLACHE: it would reduce the
11	value of the spectrum.
12	MS. KANE: Understood, but, Your
13	Honor, we don't have the whole problem is
14	we're all working in the dark, here. We don't
15	have
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand, believe
17	me
18	MS. KANE: to be able to
19	determine that. So I think at
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand.
21	MS. KANE: the very least, we
22	should be entitled to the documents to

determine --1 2 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --MS. KANE: -- that ourselves. 3 MR. PLACHE: But that's, in fact, 4 5 and I would comment that what's been filed is wildly, wildly speculative. The 30 cents per 6 megahertz pop, and using that as a valuation, 7 we would say it's wildly speculative. 8 And especially, you know, I didn't 9 really have a dog in this fight, but if we 10 can't bifurcate this for Second Thursday 11 12 purposes, you know, I do have a dog in the 13 fight. Because we want our contracts to go 14 through, and our, Dixie Electric wants to use 15 the spectrum to serve, basically, to serve the 16 public. They need the spectrum to build out 17 their network, to protect the citizens in 18 19 northern Louisiana. But, you know, there are a lot of 20 issues with valuing that spectrum at the price 21 22 that you threw out, because a lot of the

spectrum is in areas where there is a lot of other spectrum available.

And in how many years, you know,

MCLM had a lot of trouble even getting the

contracts they got, so I would rather see the

actual contract that they can get now for all

of the spectrum, and we'll see that in what, in

a couple months? Within 45 days we might see

that, and that would be a better assessment of

the value of the spectrum, at least for the

Second Thursday. What they can actually sell

it for.

I mean, I know that my client would not be here if they didn't already have a network that can operate on this spectrum. The only reason this spectrum is valuable to them is because they have the equipment to use it. They can build out the spectrum with the equipment they already have.

And that meant a lot to buying the spectrum. There are not a lot of networks built on this spectrum. I don't even think Mr.

1	McFadden's client has built a lot on his
2	spectrum, and he owns a ton of it already, so -
3	=
4	and anyone who tries to buy the spectrum is
5	subjected to, what I would call, anti-
6	competitive strife petitions from Mr.
7	McFadden's client. And we had to file numerous
8	motions to strike before the Wireless Bureau,
9	because of the defamatory pleadings that his
10	client was filing.
11	So I'm a little uncomfortable with
12	him getting the contract to purchase, but if
13	it's not in your protective order, we can live
14	with that, so
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'll I'm not
16	expecting
17	MR. PLACHE: Yes.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: that he's going to
19	act like that when he's in this proceeding.
20	MR. PLACHE: Yes. I
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I have confidence in
22	the law, but I know some of

MR. PLACHE: Well, it's a protective 1 2 order, so I rely on that. JUDGE SIPPEL: -- protect, that's 3 That's the bottom line. There's a right. 4 piece of paper that's signed and he has the --5 6 it's an order. Yes, sir. MR. KELLER: Let me add, I think he 7 makes more eloquently the point, or maybe makes 8 9 it clearer, the point that I was trying to say about my problem with all this talk about 10 valuation. 11 12 You have a situation here, within a matter of a few weeks or a couple months at the 13 most, we're going to have actual numbers. 14 We're going to have contracts to purchase the 15 spectrum for X amount of dollars. What does it 16 matter whether today there's a valuation that 17 says it's worth \$100 million or whether it says 18 it's worth \$2 million. What matters for Second 19 Thursday purposes is how much are you going to 20 get for it? What are you going to sell it for? 21

So I'm saying, we're that close to

having actual numbers, so why are we wasting
all this time chasing speculative valuations of
the amount? What difference does it matter
what somebody, theoretically, thinks the
spectrum is worth? What ultimately matters is
what are the value of the contracts that we're
going to sell the spectrum for. And that's
something that is going to be known in fairly
short order.
MR. PLACHE: And, in fact, can I
make one more point?
JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, sir.
MR. PLACHE: As soon as those
valuations go, we're going to get numerous
filings in this proceeding that my client's
going to have to deal with. I'm going to have
to spend time on it. And it's all going to be
based on speculative valuations, not the real
thing.
MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor
MR. PLACHE: I'd rather just wait 45
days and get the real information.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I see what
2	okay.
3	MR. PLACHE: You know, as opposed to
4	having to, I'm going to have to read, you know,
5	I'm going to have to read, probably a volume
6	like this from Mr. McFadden's client, and I
7	don't know what Ms. Kane is going to file, but
8	
9	MR. KELLER: Well, this
10	(Simultaneous speaking.)
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that,
12	yes you
13	MR. MCFADDEN: Right. That's
14	something
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Maybe we can agree to
16	designate an attorney's eyes only.
17	MR. PLACHE: I mean, every week I
18	get more filings and the stack is getting this
19	high.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can we agree I'm
21	sorry
22	(Simultaneous speaking.)

JUDGE SIPPEL: Now wait a minute. 1 Everybody's talking at once. Now wait. 2 MS. KANE: Your Honor, you know, 3 we're talking about what is -- if you want to 4 talk about what the current value is of this 5 6 spectrum, there can't be any better reflection of that than the contracts they've entered into 7 within the last year or two for this very same 8 9 spectrum, and any leases that are currently 10 active for this same spectrum. And what's concerning us is Mr. 11 Keller's, you know, giving with one hand and 12 13 taking with the other, not wanting to give us the leases for some reason, and we can't seem 14 to figure out why, when they seem to be just as 15 accurate a valuation as a license, and as the 16 17 spectrum. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, Mr. Keller's 18 been very candid about it. He says, I don't 19 20 know what they are. Well, that --21 MS. KANE: JUDGE SIPPEL: He's got to go back 22

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

and find out what they are. 1 That seems improbable to 2 MS. KANE: You know, this is something 3 us, Your Honor. that we've asked for, we've asked for any 4 documents relating to the lease and the signing 5 of this spectrum since the beginning of July. 6 And although they didn't file until 7 August 1st, they should have, during that time 8 9 period, been doing basic discovery and complying with basic discovery obligations, 10 which is going back to his client and figuring 11 12 out what information they had that was 13 responsive to those requests. So we find it improbable that he 14 doesn't understand what those leases are, but 15 certainly, Mr. Geno does, because he identified 16 17 them on the bankruptcy pleadings. 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. MS. KANE: So, you know, there's 19 somebody on Maritime's side who knows what 20 those leases are, and they've identified them 21 22 as assets to the bankruptcy court.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
MR. MCFADDEN: If I may
MR. KELLER: Well, if you'll
JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, wait a minute.
Let me, you guys, you just go ahead. Before
you reply, okay?
MR. KELLER: Okay.
JUDGE SIPPEL: Hold on
MR. MCFADDEN: If I may, Your Honor,
we're acting like the to follow up on Ms.
Kane's point, we're acting like the value of
the contracts is something of a mystery. I
mean, there are lawyers in this room who know
what the value of the contracts is. They could
tell us. I mean, there's no reason for any
delay at all in producing that information.
The applicants are, in fact, here,
because they are seeking to vindicate their
assignment of license applications, so I'm
assuming that they're still interested in the
spectrum. So I don't understand what the
mystery is about what the value of those

1	contracts is. And I think we should be able to
2	get that as soon as possible.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what's your
4	response to that?
5	MS. KANE: We would absolutely agree
6	with that, Your Honor, but there are additional
7	documents that may not reference these
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: The leases.
9	MS. KANE: these applicants.
10	There may be other
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: The leases.
12	MS. KANE: leases, there may be,
13	it sounds like there are at least four or five
14	other parties who have applications or
15	contracts with Maritime that are identified in
16	the bankruptcy pleadings that aren't in this
17	room.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I said that. I
19	said, we'd like I'm instructing everything
20	be produced. The only reason I'm hesitating on
21	these leases is because you don't know about
22	the leases.

1 MR. KELLER: Well --2 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Geno does know about the leases. 3 4 MR. GENO: I do, Your Honor. 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is there any problem? I don't know that they're 6 MR. GENO: covered by the confidentiality order. 7 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Really? 9 Anything that would be MS. KANE: produced by Maritime in this hearing is covered 10 by the protective order in this case, which is 11 extremely extensive, and everybody in this room 12 13 negotiated it. It's got multiple layers of protection, it's got attorneys' eyes only 14 protection, I can't imagine it cannot properly 15 protect the lessees in this situation. And 16 there should be no reason to withhold that 17 based on that information alone. We asked for 18 it in discovery, and if -- absent a bankruptcy 19 20 proceeding, we would have been able to obtain that in discovery in this case. 21

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --

1	MR. GENO: If it's covered by the
2	protective order, Your Honor, I don't have any
3	problem with producing it.
4	MR. KELLER: I, it
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, I'm
6	listening to you, Mr. Geno. Then you're going
7	to get it.
8	MS. KANE: That sounds good to us,
9	Your Honor.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay?
11	MS. KANE: And now
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, is the 4th of
13	November okay or you want me to push it up
14	earlier?
15	MS. KANE: Well, that'll be fine,
16	Your Honor. I believe that's next week.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I believe it is.
18	MS. KANE: That would be fine with
19	us, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that okay with
21	you, Mr. McFadden?
22	MR. MCFADDEN: That's fine, Your

1	Honor.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Ma'am?
3	MS. GOING: Yes.
4	MS. KANE: And we would also, on
5	November 4th, get an identification of each of
6	the individual creditors and their
7	relationships with the alleged wrong-doers?
8	MR. KELLER: Correct.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you did promise
10	that on the record.
11	MR. KELLER: Correct.
12	MS. KANE: Well, then we would want
13	clarification what Mr. Keller intends to
14	provide to us in the middle of December as this
15	comprehensive Second Thursday analysis.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I can tell you
17	it's not going to be in the middle of it, it's
18	going to be ten days from the 21st.
19	MS. KANE: Ten days from the 21st of
20	what, Your Honor?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Of November. That's
22	when they have their final push at the

bankruptcy court. I'm characterizing it in 1 2 that, in terms of, basically, that's when they will be in a position to prepare something for 3 you in ten days. Basically, it's going to be 4 the same thing they give to the court. 5 You're going to also get, in the 6 meantime, by the way, you're also going to get 7 copies of everything from here on out that they 8 9 file with the bankruptcy court. 10 MS. KANE: Now --JUDGE SIPPEL: So you're going to 11 have the full deck before you know it. 12 13 MS. KANE: Well, we would appreciate that, Your Honor. The other concern that we 14 have is that at every point in time in the 15 bankruptcy court, Maritime has filed an 16 extension of every deadline. 17 So we would like some sort of 18 commitment on the record today, that they are 19 going to comply with the re-organizational plan 20 deadline of January 29th, 2012, and that that 21 will not be moved for an extension. If we're 22

going to agree to wait until that time period 1 2 to get a full comprehensive analysis. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, Mr. Geno has --3 I mean, I'm going to take a lawyer's word. I 4 5 mean, he's represented that he has no intentions now of asking for an extension. 6 they intend to meet that date. I don't have 7 any reason to question that, right now. 8 MS. KANE: Okay, Your Honor. 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: But I think I can put 10 together a pretty comprehensive summation of 11 this, but I'm going to rely on you to make the 12 13 specifics. You say you don't want to do it, but somehow -- I don't care how you do it. 14 We're going to provide 15 MR. KELLER: the contracts and the identification of the 16 creditors and their relationship to the -- but 17 my hesitation on the leases is just knowing how 18 they fit it in. A lot of the leases are part 19 and parcel of the sale contract that is 20 21 pending.

JUDGE SIPPEL: She'll figure that

1	out.
2	MR. KELLER: But, yes,
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: But again
4	MR. KELLER: But the contracts, the
5	actual signed contracts and the idea of the
6	creditors and the relationship to principals,
7	yes. November 4. That will be provided.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: And also
9	MR. KELLER: I'm sure
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: But don't forget the
11	leases, now.
12	MR. KELLER: No, I said, the
13	contracts and the leases whether they're sale
14	contracts or the leases. And whatever he's got
15	identified there. I mean, if he's already
16	identified them, that should be easy. And I'm
17	sure that if whatever we provide is not
18	satisfactory, you'll hear from Mrs. Kane.
19	MS. KANE: Well, I
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, yes. We'll be
21	back here. And I'm
22	MS. KANE: We understand, Your

1	Honor. We'd like not to have to be back here,
2	but we would also want any sort of side
3	agreements or any other associated documents
4	with those contracts, not just the actual
5	contract themselves. There might be additional
6	details in the side agreements that would be
7	helpful to this process.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: You know, they've got
9	until November. Why don't you give them
10	something in writing?
11	MS. KANE: I'd be happy to do that,
12	Your Honor.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't care what you
14	want to call it, pleadings will be fine.
15	Whatever you want to call it. But whatever you
16	do, a demand for documents, pursuant to this
17	conference. And spell out exactly, you know,
18	you can give them all those definitions that
19	you want.
20	MS. KANE: And, Your Honor, we would
21	ask for clarification, once we obtain that
22	information, will we be entitled to seek

additional discovery based on that information? 1 2 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --MS. KANE: For example, if they 3 identify some sort of relationship between an 4 innocent creditor and Mr. DePriest that causes 5 us concern for a Second Thursday analysis, will 6 we be entitled to seek interrogatories from 7 Maritime, or maybe a deposition of that 8 9 individual. How --JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --10 MS. KANE: How can we proceed going 11 12 forward? JUDGE SIPPEL: We'll take that -- go 13 down that road when it comes. I'm not going to 14 rule it out at all. On the other hand, we 15 don't need to make that determination today 16 about depositions. I mean, depositions are --17 This case is all open for I mean, absolutely. 18 19 everything and we're just approaching it in a different fashion. We're not going to use the 20 regular traditional send-out of request for 21 documents and you get them. Obviously. We

have to do it with a little bit more 1 painstaking, but that's okay. 2 I mean, this is work -- today it's 3 working. We'll see how it's working on the 4th 4 of November, and we'll take it from there. 5 6 After the 4th of November, we'll have a better Sure, there might be some depositions 7 that are --8 9 MS. KANE: Should we simply serve notices on the individual, or on Maritime, or 10 do we have to come back to Your Honor for 11 12 permission to do so? Well, I'm going to 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: ask you to come to me for permission. 14 this is a little bit unusual. I mean, the fact 15 that there's a Second Thursday hovering around 16 this thing, and a bankruptcy proceeding, have 17 kind of thrown this into a little different 18 19 category than the routine. So, yes, give me an application or 20 whatever it is, request, to serve a notice of 21 22 interrogatories with specific reasons for

1	specific people.
2	MS. KANE: Okay, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: But I wouldn't do
4	that until the after November 4th.
5	MS. KANE: No, we're just
6	speculating
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can prepare them.
8	MS. KANE: that there will likely
9	be additional information that will be
10	necessary, once we get the basic information
11	concerning the contracts and the individuals.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: What makes you say
13	that? Have you no faith? I mean, you know
14	MS. KANE: Your Honor, I've been
15	waiting for discovery since July, so no, I
16	don't have as much faith, when it's with
17	Maritime.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
19	MR. KELLER: Well, also, Your Honor
20	
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't want to keep
22	this transcript down, because everybody here